

Indiana Historic Preservation Review Board Minutes
January 27, 2010
Indiana Government Conference Center
Conference Room A
302 West Washington Street
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Board members present: Robert E. Carter, Jr., Chairman; John Newman, Vice Chairman; William Selm, Harry Murphy, Kent Schuette, Julie Donnell, Richard Butler and newly appointed Board members Mitch Zoll and Dan Kloc

Staff members present: Division of Historic Preservation and Archaeology (DHPA) Director Dr. James Glass, Paul Diebold, Frank Hurdis, Amy Walker, Chad Slider, Ashley Thomas, Steve Kennedy, David Duvall, Amy Johnson, Holly Tate, Cathy Draeger-Williams, and John Carr of DHPA and Susan Judy, DNR Admin Pool

Visitors/Speakers present included Staffan Peterson, Rusty Yeager, Shaun Miller, Matt Coon, Dr. Bob McCullough, Ben Clark, Greg Kil, Joel Baldin, Christopher Meyers, Patrick Carpenter, David Cleveland, Dr. Joanne Stutgen, Don Adams, Nicholas Carson, Mike Whelihan, Cal Cree, Tom Coulter, RN Vance, Danielle Chrysler, Tommy Kleckner, Steve Short, Duane Alexander, Beth McCord, Angie Quinn, Brenda Cornwell, Terry Cornwell, Connie Zeigler, Tina Jones, Rebecca Seifert, Kurt Wiegard, Mark Reynolds, David Kroll, Rebecca Smith, Lynn A. Corson, Judy Cowling, Jake Smith, Bonnie Bennett, David Hague, Valorie Hague, and Alan Hass

Call to Order

Chairman Robert E. Carter Jr. convened the meeting at 1:34 P. M.
Chairman Carter announced Board Member Harry Murphy's retirement. He also stated that Mr. Murphy has been a member of the Board since 2003 and would be missed as a member.

Chairman Carter then introduced newly appointed board members Mitchell Zoll of Muncie, filling the pre-historic archaeologist position vacated by Don Cochran, and Dan Kloc, architect of Indianapolis, filling the position vacated by Andrew Seager.

The minutes from the October 28, 2009 board meeting were approved with a change on page 4 providing some additional information on the board's discussion of the Old Men's Hall. Motion to approve was made by John Newman and seconded by William Selm.

A motion was requested by the chairman for a nomination for the Vice Chairman of the Review Board. Richard Butler nominated John Newman and that was seconded by William Selm. The motion was approved unanimously.

Chairman Carter requested the Division Director's report.

I. Division Director's Report:

Historic Bridge Inventory project

After 3 ½ years of work, the Select/Non-Select Bridges process for the historic bridge inventory is nearing an end. This was a joint effort of INDOT, Federal Highway Administration, DHPA, and a wide range of stakeholders with an interest in the future of historic bridges, including Historic Landmarks Foundation of Indiana, the Historic Spans Task Force, National Trust for Historic Preservation, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, Indiana Association of County Commissioners, and Indiana Association of County Engineers. The consultants, Mead and Hunt, have completed the statewide inventory of historic bridges, developed a methodology for evaluating their importance and capacity for rehabilitation, and classified all the bridges in the inventory as either Select or Non-Select. Those that are determined Select will be given priority for rehabilitation and the use of federal transportation funds. Glass reported that one of the major advantages of the Select-Non/Select system is that those bridges classified as Select will be favored for rehabilitation. Another advantage will be that the Section 106 reviews for historic bridges in the state should be expedited and save project costs. The Select/Non-Select lists have been reviewed by all concerned, and the agencies involved are in the final stages of drafting the list and meeting with the interested groups.

Archaeology short form

Working with INDOT's Cultural Resources Section, DHPA staff has developed a short form for use by archaeologists in the state who conduct reconnaissance surveys of properties. If no archaeological sites are discovered, archaeologists can use the new short form, rather than submit a lengthy report. Glass noted the staff is working on a mechanism whereby consultants can submit the short forms directly to our SHAARD data base at the division.

Preserving Historic Places Conference in April, 2010

Glass reported that everyone should have received a registration brochure for *Preserving Places statewide historic preservation Conference* in New Harmony (April 7-9). DHPA had plenty of brochures. As mentioned in October, the conference will be held in New Harmony, and the sponsors have special keynote speakers, workshops and educational sessions on a variety of cutting edge topics, and tours of historic features and archaeological sites in New Harmony and Evansville planned. One of the workshops will feature new NPS guidance for preparing National Register nominations that will be helpful for anyone who works on nominations. One theme of the conference will be Modernism and Utopia, and we will have a panel of authorities on Modernism to discuss the meaning of the Modern landmarks in New Harmony. One of the plenary speakers will be a close associate of internationally known architect Richard Meier, Bernhard Karpf, and the keynote speaker for the conference will be Paul Goldberger, noted writer on historic preservation in the *New Yorker* magazine and *New York Times*. We are working with the other two statewide sponsors—Indiana University and Historic Landmarks Foundation and with our principal local partners, Historic New Harmony and Historic Southern Indiana, on a quality experience.

II. National Register Applications

1. Jefferson Union Church and Sweet Cemetery, 3015 E 415 N, Noble Co. (1913)
Paul Diebold, DHPA, gave the staff comment. William Selm asked whether the reference to rose windows should really be to oculus windows. Selm also asked what denomination the church served. The answer was given by Paul that the church is multi-denominational.
A motion to approve was made by Richard Butler and seconded by Julie Donnell. The motion passed unanimously.
2. Middletown Historic District, roughly including Locust Street from the 600 block to the 400 block and 5th Street from the 100 block of South 5th to the 100 block of N. 5th Street, Middletown, Henry Co. (2106)
Frank Hurdis, DHPA, gave the staff comment. William Selm had a list of minor concerns and questions, but to conserve time, he stated he would send them to the DHPA staff. Frank Hurdis said he would give his concerns all due consideration. A motion to approve was made by John Newman and seconded by William Selm. The motion passed unanimously.
3. Delphi Courthouse Square Historic District, roughly bounded by Monroe, south side of Main, west side of Market, and Indiana Streets, Delphi, Carroll Co. (2132)
Paul Diebold, DHPA, gave the staff comments. William Selm made a correction stating the letter "I" in IOOF stands for Independent Order of Oddfellows not International. A motion to approve was made by Richard Butler and seconded by William Selm. The motion passed unanimously.
4. Forest-Ivanhoe Residential Historic District, roughly bounded by 172nd Place, east side of Forest Ave. south to its end, and the Little Calumet River, Hammond, Lake Co. (2136)
Paul Diebold, DHPA, gave the staff comment. A motion to approve was made by John Newman and seconded by Richard Butler. The motion passed unanimously.
5. Plainfield Historic District, roughly bounded by Lincoln Street to the north, S. East Street to the east; Ash Street to the south; and S. Mill to the west, Plainfield, Hendricks Co. (2138)
Frank Hurdis, DHPA, gave the staff comment. A motion to approve was made by Richard Butler and seconded by Kent Schuette. The motion passed unanimously.
6. Emerson Heights Historic District, roughly bounded by Emerson Ave., Linwood Ave., E. 10th and E. Michigan Streets, Indianapolis, Marion Co. (2151)
Paul Diebold, DHPA, gave the staff comment. John Newman commented that the garage discussion on page 5 was interesting and fascinating. Tina Jones, a 20 year resident of the district and a landscape historian, indicated that she is in favor of the nomination but would like to recommend elevating the significance of the nomination to either State or National level due to the landscaping significance. She cited books by Charles Mulford Robinson and concepts by George Kessler, appointed the city landscape architect in 1908. Ms. Jones felt the middle-class landscape deserves to be on the National Register.
Paul Diebold said he would confer with the consultant about Ms. Jones comments. Dr. Glass asks for a summary of Ms. Jones' comments to be given to the DHPA Staff. A motion to approve for State and National Registers with local significance and potentially with staff

determination at a higher level was made by Richard Butler and seconded by Julie Donnell. The motion passed unanimously.

7. Jenkins Place, 448-488 Liberty Road, Orleans, Orange Co. (2155)
Paul Diebold, DHPA, gave the staff comment. Joanne Stuttgen, the nomination preparer, stated her pleasure in working with the people in Orleans on this project. A motion to approve was made by Richard Butler and seconded by William Selin. The motion passed unanimously.
8. North Jefferson Street Historic District, roughly bounded by West Park Drive and College, Madison, Collins, Oak, Stephen and Buchanan Streets, Huntington, Huntington Co. (2161)
Paul Diebold, DHPA, gave the staff comments. Julie Donnell indicated that she was pleased to see resources that speak to vernacular landscape and wanted to know if there is enough specific information to protect area from any street widening projects. Diebold answered that the greater specificity of what is included as part of the property would assist any Section 106 review. A motion to approve was made by Julie Donnell and seconded by John Newman. The motion passed unanimously.
9. Windsor Mound, Randolph Co. (2162)
Amy Johnson, DHPA, gave the staff comment. There were no questions or comments. A motion to approve was made by Harry Murphy and seconded by Mitch Zoll. The motion passed unanimously.

III. State Certificates of Approval

1. Application by Indiana Department of Veterans Affairs for demolition of the Old Men's Hall, at Indiana State Soldier's Home, Lafayette, Tippecanoe County.
Dr. Glass presented the staff comment and read the comment prepared for the board on the application:

The applicant, the Indiana Department of Veterans' Affairs, has applied to demolish the Old Men's Hall, a 1912 brick structure constructed to house residents of the former Indiana Soldiers' Home. The building is located a short distance from the small cluster of buildings constituting the Indiana State Soldiers Home Historic District, listed in the National Register in 1974. As one of the early buildings constructed at the home, the staff believes that the Old Men's Hall is eligible for the Register as an additional contributing structure for the listed district, and therefore its demolition requires a certificate of approval under IC 14-21-1-18.

The home no longer met U.S. Department of Veterans Administration standards as a residence for veteran residents and patients by the 1980s, and the Indiana Department of Health, former agency managing the property, abandoned it some time between 1980 and 1997. Since abandonment, there have been no maintenance or repairs made to the structure, and there are now serious structural weaknesses, deficiencies in the roof, and significant water damage in the interior. The Public Works Division, Indiana Department of Administration, has provided estimates for rehabilitation for three hypothetical uses: \$490,200 for a dormitory; \$490,200 for a medical clinic; and \$410,400 for an office. The expense of demolition is

estimated at \$19,125, to which would probably be added a cost for remediation of hazardous materials.

The Indiana Department of Veterans Affairs, at the request of the staff, provided an evaluation of the feasibility for several types of adaptive uses for the Old Men's Hall.

1. Bed and Breakfast—An existing bed and breakfast operation at the Commandant's Home has not been economically successful, and the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) has raised concerns over an external operation drawing members of the public into the campus and potentially causing safety issues for both patients and visitors who interact at the Old Men's Hall location.

2. Lodging for Overnight Guests or Veterans Affairs Staff—The campus already has hotels on site, satisfying this need.

3. Office Space—The building would be unsuitable for Veterans Affairs to use for office space, because it is not connected to the main buildings, a requirement of the U.S. VA. It is also multi-story, and the U.S. VA specifies single-story buildings for access by patients. To meet the connector requirement, a connector meeting U.S. VA specifications would have to be built between the Old Men's Hall and one of the administrative buildings, adding considerably to the rehabilitation expense. It is not clear whether the U.S. VA would allow access by residents to the second story if an elevator were added to the building. If that were not acceptable, it is also unclear whether Veterans Affairs would have sufficient non-resident uses for the second story to justify the rehabilitation cost of the building. Office use by outside organizations or businesses would not be feasible because the U.S. VA has raised concerns over the safety of patients and outside employees and visitors for any external use in the building.

4. Museum—Veterans Affairs has already planned to rehabilitate the Lawrie Library, another historic building in the Soldiers' Home historic district, to house a campus historical museum and as a venue to display the collection of portraits by Captain Alexander Lawrie. Veterans' Affairs believes that it is more feasible to rehabilitate the library building and to raise private funds for the rehabilitation than to attempt a similar use for the Old Men's Hall.

5. Meeting Rooms and Community Rooms—The same issues would arise as for an office use—the lack of a connector to other campus buildings for in-house uses and safety issues for external uses. Also, if Veterans Affairs rented to such groups occasionally, the U.S. VA would have concerns over accepting money for use of a public building.

6. Retreat Center for Outside Organizations—The same issues would arise as for meeting rooms and community rooms.

7. Offices and Training Center for Nurses and Other Staff Positions—Veterans Affairs states that they currently do not have a need for such a facility on the campus. Classrooms for training currently exist in MacArthur Hall.

8. Cultural Center (arts and crafts rooms, music rooms, exhibition spaces, and a library)—The Old Men's Hall may not be large enough for all the above functions, and the limitations on resident use of the second floor may further limit the space available.

9. Use by Purdue Nurses for On-Campus Housing—It has been suggested that possibly Purdue nursing students working at a Veteran's Home clinic could live in the Old Men's Hall, but a check with Purdue indicated that nursing students are provided housing on campus. There also would potentially be U.S. VA concerns over safety for residents and patients.

A Lafayette developer, Jay Reynolds, had expressed potential interest in a for-profit adaptive use for an older building on the campus, and Veterans Affairs contacted Mr. Reynolds. However, it appears that he does not have an interest in the Old Men's Hall.

Based on the information provided the staff by Veterans Affairs, it appears that there are no adaptive uses that are feasible at this time for the Old Men's Hall. The constraints imposed by U.S. Veterans Affairs on adaptive uses are significant and rule out all of the obvious internal and external uses. In addition, the U.S. VA has imposed a deadline in the near future (possibly as soon as 2010) for Indiana Veterans Affairs to either demolish or rehabilitate the Old Men's Hall. The amount of funds required for rehabilitation indicated by Public Works is not available through federal or state sources, and external funds are not feasible to raise or commit unless a use is feasible.

Given the above circumstances, the staff recommends that the Review Board issue a certificate of approval for demolition of the Old Men's Hall.

Glass then recommended to the Board that if a certificate is granted, the Board stipulate that the Old Men's Hall be documented to meet the division standards for documentation of a historic structure (attached). A copy of the recommended documentation was distributed to the Board.

Chairman Carter complimented the staff for the thoroughness of their report.

Several people spoke before the board members:

Danielle Chrysler, Deputy Chief of Staff in the Lt. Governor's office, spoke about the challenges faced by the Indiana Veterans Home with the Old Men's Hall because of 15 years of lack of rehabilitation and emphasized how important it was for the Board to act on the application for demolition at this meeting. She has consulted with everyone with an interest in the old buildings at the home and tried to respond to all concerns. She presented photos of the Old Men's Hall showing the neglect, damage, and mold in the building. She stated she needs to move forward with one of three options: demolition, securing the building by building a security fence around it, or rehabilitating it. A decision is needed to get the building taken care of because spring will be arriving soon and the residents will be outside again making the building a danger to them and workers. She indicated she had several people with her to speak if necessary.

Tommy Kleckner, Director of the Western Regional Office of Historic Landmarks Foundation of Indiana and a member of the Indiana Veterans Home Historic Preservation Task Force, stated that the Old Men's Hall is a difficult building to preserve. His concern was procedural in nature. If the Veterans Home is use federal stimulus funds for the demolition, Section 106 review needs to occur before any action to demolish is taken.

Danielle Chrysler stated that use of stimulus funds has not been decided.

Nicholas Carson, speaking for Representative Sheila Klinker, stated that the representative opposes the demolition of the building now and favors rehabilitation, but would not oppose demolition if Section 106 review is complied with.

Glass agreed that section 106 would need to take place if Federal funds are used.

Board member Kent Schuette stated that he had called Kathleen Schamel, the Federal Preservation Officer with the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (USVA). Schuette reported

that Ms. Schamel suggested that it is not just a matter of where funds originate for a project but also who owns a property that determines whether Section 106 review should occur. He stated that more legal work needed to take place in regard to ownership of the property before a Certificate of Approval is approved. Schuette added that the Board should not approve a certificate of approval for demolition before Section 106 review of the project is completed. Schuette said he believed that there was an opportunity for the Veterans Home and the American Legion to use one or more of the old buildings that had been listed in the Register or determined historic for an archive and museum of Indiana veteran history. The veterans' home would be a central location for such an archive/museum in the State.

Schuette then moved to table the application for a certificate of approval until the Section 106 review is completed. William Selm seconded the motion.

Chairman Carter stated that he didn't think that the Section 106 review should be an impediment to the action before the Board today. He asked for Richard Butler's legal opinion regarding the ability of the Board to proceed with the Certificate of Approval vote before the Section 106 process has been initiated.

Butler recommended against the motion to table the application. If the motion on the floor failed, he proposed an alternative motion that he believed would address everyone's concerns. He recommended approving the certificate based on the staff recommendation to the board and contingent on the DNR legal counsel providing an opinion that the vote would not violate the Section 106 review process.

John Newman indicated that he didn't know if an effective adaptive use of the structure was feasible given the money required for rehabilitation and other factors cited earlier. Based on his tour of the Old Men's Hall in December, Newman stated he believed the life of the building to be too far gone to rehabilitate.

William Selm spoke against demolition. He noted that the building conditions are bad because of the lack of maintenance, but worse conditions have been repaired and reused. The Old Men's Hall is a historic building and retains most of its historic features.

Danielle Chrysler added that the lack of maintenance dates back to the Orr administration and that the DNR did not act to maintain the buildings that it owned for many years.

Steve Short, retired history teacher, a veteran sergeant major, and Department Adjutant for the American Legion, stated that he recognized the conflict the state is having but believes that veterans are more important than bricks and mortar. The campus needs to serve returning veterans.

Mike Whelihan, State Adjutant for the Department of Indiana, American Legion, and a representative for the Disabled American Veterans, stated that the Department of Indiana supported the demolition.

Tom Coulter, State Public Works Division Director, asked if the building rehabilitation would serve the campus. If not, should money be spent on building rehabilitation? He stated that the

dollar values presented do not represent the full amount that would be needed and he believes the money should be used on existing buildings to maintain them. Scarce dollars should go for preventive maintenance of buildings in better condition.

Richard Butler said that the certificate of approval process allows for the board to recognize the potential of historic buildings and to inquire about feasibility of preservation. The goal is not demolition.

The Chairman called for a vote on the motion to table the Certificate of Approval - Voting in favor were Schuette, Donnell, Selm, and Murphy; voting against were Butler, Newman, Zoll, Kloc, and Carter. With 4 votes for the motion, and 5 votes against, the motion failed.

Richard Butler then made a motion to approve the Certificate of Approval in compliance with the staff recommendations for mitigation and contingent on the DNR legal counsel providing an opinion that the certificate would not violate Section 106 review. John Newman seconded that motion. A vote is taken on the motion, and the motion was approved unanimously.

2. Application by INDOT to demolish and replace Bridge #67-55-1564A, S.R. 67 over Lamb's Creek, Morgan County.

Chad Slider gave staff comment. A motion to approve was made by Richard Butler and was seconded by John Newman. The motion passed unanimously.

3. Application by INDOT for replacement of the existing 2-lane SR 39 bridge over White River with a new 4-lane bridge and 1,700 feet of minor improvements to SR 67 and turn lane modification at the intersection with SR 39, Morgan County.

John Carr gave the staff comment and the archaeology comment were given by Cathy Draeger-Williams.

Harry Murphy asked if the work is within the 100 foot boundary requirement. Cathy Draeger-Williams answered that the boundaries are not exactly known.

Joanne Stuttgen read a letter from the Phil R. Deckard, Mayor of Martinsville, who requested a more attractive design for the "gateway" to Martinsville.

Morgan County Commissioner Don Adams agreed with what Dr. Stuttgen and the Mayor said about making the bridge more attractive, and with easy access to the brick road crossing and the river.

Julie Donnell asked what the landscape context for the bridge was and questioned why the issues mentioned by Mayor Deckard, Mr. Adams, and Dr. Stuttgen were not discussed in the Memorandum of Agreement for Section 106 review of the project.

David Cleveland of the Corradino Group and Patrick Carpenter, INDOT responded to Ms. Donnell's questions. He indicated that INDOT needs to purchase the right of way which they currently don't have and has attempted to address some of the issues of concern: a historical marker, a car pull off, a trail and sidewalk. Mr. Cleveland stated that the design takes into account enhancement concerns but not all is reflected in the MOA. Patrick Carpenter of INDOT's Cultural Resources Section stated that INDOT was not able to implement all of the suggestions that had been made.

William Selm asked for clarification of the project with respect to the brick road. Cathy Draeger-Williams answered that the MOA stipulates that the brick street will be documented archaeologically, and a sample of the bricks will be curated in an archaeological facility. The

remainder of the bricks being removed for the project will be made available to the City of Martinsville for use in brick paving projects in the older part of the city. Don Adams stated that a portion of the original brick pavement will be retained in place as part of the trail being developed.

A motion to approve and grant the Certificate of Approval was made by John Newman. Julie Donnell seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

4. Application by City of Gary/Chanute Aquatorium Society to rehabilitate the Gary Bathing Beach Pavilion, Lake County, for museum purposes.

David Duvall gave the staff comment. Greg Kil of Kil Architects and Planning discussed the rehabilitation of the bathhouse. The project is being done in phases. This phase will complete the remaining part of the building.

Christopher Meyers, City of Gary Department of Planning, related the background history of the pavilion. The bathhouse opened in 1922 and was the first bathing pavilion on Indiana's Lake Michigan frontage.

A motion to approve was made by Harry Murphy and was seconded by Richard Butler. The motion passed unanimously.

5. Application by the Indiana DNR, Division of State Parks and Reservoirs for a Certificate of approval to remove a sidewalk at Mounds State Park, Madison County.

Cathy Draeger-Williams gave the staff comment. A motion to approve was made by John Newman and seconded by Harry Murphy. The motion passed unanimously.

6. Application by INDOT to conduct archaeological investigations required by wetland restoration and enhancement, ephemeral channel development and restoration, riparian floodway development, planting of native vegetation, and bank stabilization along the Wabash River within Prophetstown State Park in Tippecanoe County, in connection with the SR 25 Hoosier Heartland Highway Project.

Cathy Draeger-Williams gave the staff comment.

A motion to approve was made by William Selm and seconded by Harry Murphy. The motion was passed unanimously.

IV. Historic Preservation Fund Grant Applications for FY 2010

Steve Kennedy, Assistant Director for Grants and Administration, DHPA gave the fund grant report.

This year, 25 proposals were received requesting a grand total of \$634,028. Although the amount of Indiana's HPF allocation is known at this time – \$907,302 – that portion of the award that is available for subgrants has not been determined. The DHPA Grants Staff is still working on a budget plan that will provide adequate financial support to the operations of the division before making a final decision on the amount of subgrant support that can be provided for local projects. Therefore, the Review Board is being asked once again to consider ranked lists of projects instead of actual project-by-project funding recommendations.

Once Indiana's HPF budget for 2010 is finalized, the Grants Staff will determine the amount of funding available for subgrants in each category and will initiate projects in accordance with required internal procedures and our approved FY2010 HPF Grant Program Guidelines.

1. Consideration of applications submitted by CLG communities

The first category for the Board's consideration is that of Certified Local Government project. Indiana's required minimum 10% CLG pass-through amount is \$90,731. Staff recommends funding all three of the CLG proposals; however, their ranked scores may place them at or below the point of where funds are exhausted in each category. Therefore, we request that CLG applications be considered as a separate category and that funding for these projects be guaranteed in this manner so that Indiana will meet the minimum 10% CLG pass-through requirement.

A motion was made to approve by Harry Murphy and seconded by John Newman. The motion passed unanimously.

2. Consideration of applications in the Architectural & Historical Category

The second category for the Board's consideration is that of Architectural & Historical projects. Twelve proposals were received requesting a total of \$189,276; however, two of these proposals did not meet the required minimum score of 65.0 points on the Administrative Criteria and therefore cannot be recommended for funding. The amount of categorical funding available has not been determined.

A motion was made to approve by John Newman and seconded by William Selm. The motion passed unanimously.

3. Consideration of applications in the Archaeological Category

The third category for the Board's consideration is that of Archaeological projects. Six proposals were received requesting a total of \$202,151; all of the proposals are recommended for funding. The amount of categorical funding available has not been determined.

A motion was made to approve by Harry Murphy and seconded by John Newman. The motion passed unanimously.

4. Consideration of applications in the Acquisition & Development Category

The fourth and final category for the Board's consideration is that of Acquisition and Development projects. Seven proposals were received requesting a total of \$240,333; however, one of these proposals did not meet the required minimum score of 65.0 points on the Administrative Criteria and therefore cannot be recommended for funding. The amount of categorical funding available has not been determined.

A motion was made to approve by John Newman and seconded by Richard Butler. The motion passed unanimously.

V. Properties Listed in, Rejected by, or Removed from the National Register since the last Notification

Listed

1. Alfred Simonson House, 207 Shipping Street, Edwardsport, Knox County (1841)
2. Chesterton Residential Historic District, roughly between Lincoln and W. Indiana Avenues, Chesterton, Porter County (1939)
3. Charles Dugan House, 420 W. Monroe Street, Decatur, Adams County (1949)
4. Southwood Park Historic District, roughly bounded by West Pettit, Stratford Road, West Sherwood Terrace, Hartmann Road, Lexington Avenue, Indiana Avenue, West Rudisill Boulevard, and Fairfield Avenue, Fort Wayne, Allen County (2114)
5. William and Helen Koerting House, 2625 Greenleaf Boulevard, Elkhart, Elkhart County (2115)
6. Middletown Bridge, CR 450 S over Conn's Creek, Middletown vicinity, Shelby County (2129)
7. Straber Ford Bridge, CR 500 N over Otter Creek, Osgood vicinity, Ripley County (2130)
8. Champs Ford Bridge, CR 100 S over Clifty Creek, Burney vicinity, Decatur County (2131)
9. Lora Pearson School, 115 West Colescott Street, Shelbyville, Shelby County (2133)
10. Collier Lodge Site, (12-PR-36), 1099 Baum's Bridge Road, Kouts vicinity, Porter County (2147)
11. Taylor Ten (12-H-987), 12302 Strawtown Avenue, Noblesville vicinity, Hamilton County (1250)
12. Indian Village Historic District, roughly bounded by Nutman Avenue – north; Bluffton Road – east; Engle Road – south; and Norfolk Southern ROW – west, Fort Wayne, Allen County (2160)

Rejected

None

Removed

Skora Building, 101-103 NW 2nd Street, (Downtown Evansville Multiple Resource Area), Evansville, Vanderburgh Co. (Demolished, removed 11-4-09)

Set date for the next meeting

Dr. Glass polled the Board Members, per his suggestion, stated at the previous meetings, for acceptance of the date for next meeting and who will be attending the meeting.

The proposed date of April 28, 2010, IGCS, Conference Room A, 1:30 p.m. (EDT) was approved unanimously by the Board Members. A show of hands indicated all members would be present at the next Review Board meeting on April 28, 2010.

Indiana Historic Preservation Review Board Meeting Dates for 2010

Mark your calendars reminder for meeting dates.

Wednesday, July 28, 2010

Wednesday, October 27, 2010

All meetings are in Conference room "A", unless otherwise noted above.

All meetings are reserved for a starting time of 1:30 PM to 4:45 PM.

Deadline for receipt of Certificate of Approval applications:

Friday, March 19, 2010, 4:45 p.m. (EDT)

Chairman Carter asked for a motion to adjourn. John Newman made the motion, William Selm seconded, motion approved.

The meeting was adjourned 3:33 p.m. (EDT)